



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/611,593      | 06/30/2003  | Marie-Laure Lesaicherre | 6565-66285/RJP      | 5201             |

7590                    04/02/2009  
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN CAMPBELL  
LEIGH & WHINSTON, LLP  
One World Trade Center  
121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600  
Portland, OR 97204

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

YANG, NELSON C

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1641     |              |

|            |               |
|------------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE  | DELIVERY MODE |
| 04/02/2009 | PAPER         |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                                 |                        |                     |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b><i>Interview Summary</i></b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                                 | 10/611,593             | LESAICHERRE ET AL.  |  |
|                                 | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                                 | Nelson Yang            | 1641                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nelson Yang. (3) Sally Hemming.

(2) Richard Polley. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant's discussed how the prior art differed from the recited claims, specifically that the prior art did not teach a biotin moiety attached to the backbone of the fusion protein.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| /Nelson Yang/<br>Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641 |  |
|---|--|